

Ex Parte Reexamination Interview Summary	Control No. 09/603,389	Patent Under Reexamination NEYMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Steven R. Wasylchak	Art Unit 3624	

All participants (USPTO personnel, patent owner, patent owner's representative):

(1) Exr. Steven R. Wasylchak

(3) Atty. Michael Bergman

(2) Primary Hani Kazini

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 July 2003

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ patent owner 2) ☐ patent owner's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Any other agreement(s) are set forth below under "Description of the general nature of what was agreed to..."

Claim(s) discussed: 1,15,26,31,35 and 37.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jaine et al (US 6,343,278).

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed linked orders that are either executed together or rejected.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims patentable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims patentable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 2281). IF A RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION HAS ALREADY BEEN FILED, THEN PATENT OWNER IS GIVEN **ONE MONTH** FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO PROVIDE THE MANDATORY STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (37 CFR 1.560(b)). THE REQUIREMENT FOR PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT CAN NOT BE WAIVED. **EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).**

cc: Requester (if third party requester)

Examiner's signature, if required